

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/682,402	CHANG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Christian LaForgia	<b>Art Unit</b> 2139	

**All Participants:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) *Christian LaForgia*. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) *Rudolf Siegesmund (Reg. No. 37,720)*. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 June 2008 **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

17

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Christian LaForgia/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2139

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner and Applicant's representative discussed including allowable subject from claim 20 into independent claim 17. the Examiner and representative for Applicant came to terms that both agreed to as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment..